

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/020,331	12/12/2001	Michael T. Milbocker	PRAXIS-5	9980		
7590 09/07/2005 PROMETHEAN SURGICAL DYNAMICS LLC			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			FUBARA, BLESSING M			
3 GILL ST. SUITE G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WOBURN, MA 01810			1618			
		DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

- Application No. 10/020,331		Applicant(s) MILBOCKER, MICHAEL T.		
	Blessing M. Fubara	1618		

	Blessing M. Fubara	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) Me period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ttension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• -		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beaution appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	U will not be entered on by U wi	ll be entered and on a	valamatian af
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application is	n condition for allows:	nce hecause.
While 80% EO is at least 70% or 75%, there is no suppand not about 70%. The ethylene oxide and propylene argument that Muller teaches the manufacture of a foan not describe hydrophobic product but rather, the prepole	ort for at least about 70%. The specific oxide contribute to the prepolymer n, it is noted that the claims do not expecific the contribute of the contribute	ecification supports E of Muller. Regardine exclude foam materia	O:PO of 80:20 g applicants' ls. Muller does
the claimed composition. The claims are directed to consiste although applicant says that the Muller prepolymer are	empositions and future intended use	e carries no patentab	e weight so that
comprising EO and PO and the future intended use has	no patentable weight. The rejection	on on record still appl	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper N	10(S)	
13.			

(BF)

N

SUPTRY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050829